IP CREW

Cognitive Radio Experimentation World

A Set of Methodologies for Heterogeneous Spectrum Sensing

W.Liu, S. Bouckaert, I. Moermann, S. Pollin, P. v. Wesemael, C. Heller, D. Finn, D. Willkomm,
J.-H. Hauer, M.Chwalisz, N.Michailow, T.Solc Z.Padrah

WInnComm – Europe, 27th of June 2012

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 258301 (CREW project).

Introduction

Why heterogeneous sensing?



- Cognitive radio
  - ISM band is getting overcrowded
  - Cognitive Radio demands spectrum sensing

first  and then talk

- Cost vs Performance -- Cooperative sensing with portable and small devices is desired

Challenges

- **Goal :** spectrum sensing achieved by small, portable and heterogeneous devices, in a distributed manner
- **How many ?**

- **How to combine ?**





Outline

- **The FP7 Project CREW**
- **Heterogeneous Sensing Equipments in CREW**
  - Overview of devices
  - Heterogeneity of devices
- **Proposed Methodologies and Related Experiments**
  - Determine power offset among heterogeneous devices
  - Common Data Format
  - Experiment specific methodologies
- **Conclusions**

The FP7 Project CREW

- Project Partners:
  IBBT, imec, CTVR, TU Berlin, TU Dresden, Thales, EADS, JSI
- Project Start: October 2010
- Project Goal: Development of a Federated Testbed for Cognitive Radio Experimentation http://www.crew-project.eu/



Heterogeneous Sensing in CREW

- The CREW Project offers the unique chance to compare a great number of sensing solutions from different project partners

- **Cross-Platform Study**
  - Comparison of inexpensive off-the-shelf to customized sophisticated solutions
  - Comparison of different processing approaches
  - Methodologies dealing with
    - Heterogeneity in hardware
    - Heterogeneity in software

Sensing Equipments

	Device	Signal processing	Customization
imec		 Fixed-point FFT on Embedded uP	HW + SW
USRP		 Configurable periodogram on GPP	SW only
Airmagnet		Fixed-point FFT hardware processing	None
Wispy		RSSI measurement	None, open source SW
Telos B		RSSI measurement	SW only
JSI		RSSI measurement	HW + SW

Heterogeneity of sensing equipments



Heterogeneity of sensing equipments

- Power Spectrum Density (PSD) in dBm is the common output for all devices
- **Heterogeneity**
  - Spectrum matrices
    - Resolution bandwidth (df)
    - Span
    - Time resolution (dt) : Time to collect sample + processing time
  - Output format:
    - Binary ? CSV? XML ?.....





Outline

- **The FP7 Project CREW**
- **Heterogeneous Sensing Equipments in CREW**
  - Overview of devices
  - Heterogeneity of devices
- **Proposed Methodologies and Related Experiments**
  - Determine power offset of heterogeneous devices
  - Common Data Format
  - Experiments Related Methodologies
- **Conclusions**

Power offset of Heterogeneous Devices



- Distortion at each amplification stage
- Limited ADC resolution
- Processing : e.g., FFT windowing function, overlapping..
- Power offset refers to the difference in measured power by heterogeneous devices given the same input signal

Measure the Power Offset

- **Experiment setup**
  - Measurements with coaxial cable connection
  - Perform measurement for various input signal types and strength



Measure the Power Offset

- Desired metric : The power measured in a certain band
- Difficulties：No common frequency resolution and span
- Methodology
  - Integrate the linear PSD over specific interval



  - Power Offset = TxPower – Attenuation – Measured Power
  - Calibrated Power = Measured Power – Power Offset

Measure Offset Airmagnet Example

Input signal 60 dBm => offset is 2.6 dBm

WIFI channel 6





Zigbee channel 16





Heterogeneity in sensing equipments



Common data format is desired to achieve fair comparison among devices

Metadata

- Metadata of the experiment
  - Tx signal pattern, Tx power level, background environment
- Metadata of each trace
  - Device name
  - Location of the device
  - Calibration offset (obtained by pre-calibration)
  - Frequency bins
    - Array defining center frequencies of the rows of the power matrix
  - Resolution bandwidth
    - Band width around each center frequency
  - Starting time
    - The starting time of the experiment
  - Relative time
    - The time stamp of each sweep relative to the start time



CREW Common Data Format

- Data -- Power matrix
  - The matrix containing PSD and relative time stamp.
  - Obtained by a dedicated script for each device



Dublin Experiment

- Focus : Temporal accuracy
- Scenarios
  - Tx signal Slow On/Off Pattern (60 s On / 60 s Off)
  - Tx signal Fast On/Off Pattern (10 ms On / 100 ms Off)
- Channel Characteristics
  - Static (no people in room) and Dynamic (10…15 people moving randomly around between TX and sensing nodes)



Desired Comparing metrics

- Receiver Operating Characteristic
  - Probability of False Alarm VS Probability of Missed Detection



Experiment Dublin

- **Difficulties**
  - No common data rate in time domain
  - Different frequency coverage => fairness?
- **Methodology**
  - Average / Resample the PSD matrix so all devices have the common data rate in time domain



  - Determine actual sample collection for a specific band

Experiment Dublin

Post processing

- Vary probability of false alarm (PFA) from zero to 100%
- For each PFA, calculate the threshold of energy detection
- Use this threshold to calculate PMD
- Obtain the receiver operation characteristic (ROC) plot



Experiment Leuven

Exp .Leuven – Spatial accuracy



- Where ? imec cafeteria large indoor environment
- Transmitter at fixed location, continuous 20 Mhz OFDM signal
- Heterogeneous devices are used to measure spectrum at all locations.
- Least Squares method used to generate the pathloss model for each device.

Experiment Leuven

- **Desired metrics**
  - Path loss vs distance model
    - $PL = \beta + 10x\,\alpha\,x\ \log10\,(\,d\,/\,d^*) + \Delta$
- **Difficulties:**
  - How to determine the “ground truth” ?
  - How to generate the path loss model ?
  - How to compensate for the power offset?
  - How to determine outlier of the experiment ?



Experiment Leuven

$$PL = \beta + 10 \times \alpha \times \log10 (d / d^*) + \Delta$$



Conclusions

Heterogeneity	Methodology
Output format	Dedicated script + Common Data Format
Overall power loss in receiver chain	Power offset measured by coaxial cable experiment
Frequency Resolution	Integration over a specific band
Sweep time	Averaging and resample
Reference determination	(Weighted) mean of all devices



Thank you!

Q&A

- More info
  - http://www.crew-project.eu/
  - Contact for information:

    Wei Liu (University Gent - IBBT)

    email: wei.liu@intec.ugent.be

    phone: +32 9 33 14 946 (office)

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 258301 (CREW project).